

Big Bear Creek Restoration Strategy Prioritization

Land Type	Restoration Strategies	Steelhead Production Response Potential				Landowner/Operator Potential Interest				Potential to Utilize Existing Conservation Agency Resources				Potential to Obtain Additional Technical and/or Financial Resources				Probability of Future Land Uses Supporting Completed Restoration Activities			Restoration Strategy Ranking		
		Strong (5 points)	Moderate (3 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Supportive (1 point)	Unknown (0 point)	Inconsistent (minus 1 point)	Sheet Score (Total Points)	Ranking (High, Medium or Low)	Comments
Canyon	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas		3				2				1		3					0		9	M	Opportunities to do instream work exist, including streambed stabilization and boulder placement. Limited technical assistance for planning and engineering.	
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems				0				0				0					0		0	No ranking applied	Wet meadows not found in canyon lands.	
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions			1			2			2					1			0		6	L		
	Eliminate Migration Barriers	5					2				1			2			1			11	H	Migration barrier is natural, removal or modification will require evaluation to determine practicality or appropriateness.	
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0				0					0		0	No ranking applied	Artificial water retention facilities not recommended in canyon lands.	
	Other				0				0				0					0		0	No ranking applied		
Agricultural Uplands	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas	5				3				3			3				1			15	H		
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems	5						1		3			3				1			13	H		
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions	5				3				3			3				1			15	H		
	Eliminate Migration Barriers			1			2				1			1		1				6	L	Low ranking unless the lower canyon land barrier is addressed; investigate potential barriers e.g. culverts.	
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities		3				2				1			1		1				8	L	Some discussion as to the benefit of retention facilities; whether facilities would address peak flow regulation.	
	Other				0				0				0				0			0	No ranking applied		
Forest	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas			1			2				2			2			1			8	L	Low support to put restoration efforts in to the forest system for this watershed.	
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems		3				2				2			2			1			10	M		
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions			1			2				2			2			1			8	L		
	Eliminate Migration Barriers			1			2				1			1		1				6	L	Low ranking unless the lower canyon land barrier is addressed; investigate potential barriers and their removal. e.g. culverts.	
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities			1			2				1			1		1				6	L		
	Other				0				0				0				0			0	No ranking applied		

Strong - A strong potential represents a high probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A strong potential also indicates a strong willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Moderate - A moderate potential represents a mid-range probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A moderate potential also indicates a mid-range or unknown willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Weak - A weak potential represents a known low probability of success even with significant inputs of additional technical and/or financial resources. A weak potential also indicates a known, or perceived, unwillingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

NA - Not applicable.

No ranking applied - A potential ranking does not apply or is not recommended to the identified restoration strategy.

Little Bear Restoration Strategy Prioritization

Land Type	Restoration Strategies	Steelhead Production Response Potential				Landowner/Operator Potential Interest				Potential to Utilize Existing Conservation Agency Resources				Potential to Obtain Additional Technical and/or Financial Resources				Probability of Future Land Uses Supporting Completed Restoration Activities			Restoration Strategy Ranking		
		Strong (5 points)	Moderate (3 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Supportive (1 point)	Unknown (0 point)	Inconsistent (minus 1 point)	Sheet Score (Total Points)	Ranking (High, Medium or Low)	Comments
Canyon	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas		3			3					1		3					0		10	M		
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems				0				0				0					0		0	No ranking applied	Wet meadows not found in canyon lands.	
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions			1			2			2					1			0		6	L		
	Eliminate Migration Barriers				0				0				0					0		0	No ranking applied		
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0				0					0		0	No ranking applied	Artificial water retention facilities not recommended in canyon lands.	
	Other				0				0				0					0		0	No ranking applied		
Agricultural Uplands	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas	5				3				3			3					0		14	M	Landowner interest in riparian restoration projects, although existing conditions include relatively intact riparian areas, and therefore projects may be limited.	
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems	5				3				3			3					0		14	M	Landowner interest in meadow restoration projects, although existing conditions include relatively intact areas, and therefore projects may be limited.	
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions	5					2			3			3					0		13	M	Landowner interest unknown, therefore projects may be limited.	
	Eliminate Migration Barriers			1		3					1			1		1			7	L	Migration barrier located on West Fork Little Bear; barrier removal would increase potential steelhead habitat; may investigate potential barriers and their removal, e.g. culverts.		
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities		3				2				1			1				0		7	L		
	Other				0				0				0					0		0	No ranking applied		
Forest	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas			1		3				2			2					0		8	M	Some forest land owned by University of Idaho, not commercially harvested. Other forest lands private ownership. Riparian areas in forest lands mostly intact.	
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems			1			2			2			2			1			8	M			
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions		3				2			2			2				0		9	M			
	Eliminate Migration Barriers		3				2				1			1		1			8	H	Migration barrier located on West Fork Little Bear; barrier removal would increase potential steelhead habitat.		
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities			1			2				1			1			0		5	L			
	Other				0				0				0				0		0	No ranking applied			

Strong - A strong potential represents a high probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A strong potential also indicates a strong willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Moderate - A moderate potential represents a mid-range probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A moderate potential also indicates a mid-range or unknown willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Weak - A weak potential represents a known low probability of success even with significant inputs of additional technical and/or financial resources. A weak potential also indicates a known, or perceived, unwillingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

NA - Not applicable.

No ranking applied - A potential ranking does not apply or is not recommended to the identified restoration strategy.

Boulder Creek Restoration Strategy Prioritization

Land Type	Restoration Strategies	Steelhead Production Response Potential				Landowner/Operator Potential Interest				Potential to Utilize Existing Conservation Agency Resources				Potential to Obtain Additional Technical and/or Financial Resources				Probability of Future Land Uses Supporting Completed Restoration Activities			Restoration Strategy Ranking		
		Strong (5 points)	Moderate (3 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Supportive (1 point)	Unknown (0 point)	Inconsistent (minus 1 point)	Sheet Score (Total Points)	Ranking (High, Medium or Low)	Comments
Canyon	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas		3				2					0		2				0			7	M	Grazing in canyon, practices could include fencing to enhance riparian restoration.
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems				0		2					0		2				0			4	L	
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions			1			2					0		2				0			5	L	
	Eliminate Migration Barriers		3				2					0		2				0			7	M	Modifications to natural barrier (falls) could be evaluated for restoration, habitat above the falls is relatively good.
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0			0					0	0			0	No ranking applied	
	Other				0				0			0					0	0			0	No ranking applied	
Agricultural Uplands	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas		3				2					0		2				0			7	L	Habitat above the natural barrier in the canyon inaccessible, minimizing the need for restoration efforts.
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems		3				2					0		2				0			7	L	Agricultural lands consist of grazing and some hayland.
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions			1			2					0		2				0			5	L	Agricultural lands consist of grazing and some hayland.
	Eliminate Migration Barriers			1			2					0		2				0			5	L	Investigate potential barriers and removal, e.g. culverts if barrier in lower canyon land is addressed.
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0		2					0		2				0			4	L	
	Other				0				0			0					0	0			0	No ranking applied	
Forest	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas		3				2					0		2				0			7	L	Habitat above the natural barrier in the canyon inaccessible, minimizing the need for restoration efforts.
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems		3				2					0		2				0			7	L	
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions			1			2					0		2				0			5	L	
	Eliminate Migration Barriers			1			2					0		2				0			5	L	
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0			0					0	0			0	No ranking applied	
	Other				0				0			0					0	0			0	No ranking applied	

Strong - A strong potential represents a high probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A strong potential also indicates a strong willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Moderate - A moderate potential represents a mid-range probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A moderate potential also indicates a mid-range or unknown willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Weak - A weak potential represents a known low probability of success even with significant inputs of additional technical and/or financial resources. A weak potential also indicates a known, or perceived, unwillingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

NA - Not applicable.

No ranking applied - A potential ranking does not apply or is not recommended to the identified restoration strategy.

Cedar Creek Restoration Strategy Prioritization

Land Type	Restoration Strategies	Steelhead Production Response Potential				Landowner/Operator Potential Interest				Potential to Utilize Existing Conservation Agency Resources				Potential to Obtain Additional Technical and/or Financial Resources				Probability of Future Land Uses Supporting Completed Restoration Activities			Restoration Strategy Ranking		
		Strong (5 points)	Moderate (3 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Supportive (1 point)	Unknown (0 point)	Inconsistent (minus 1 point)	Sheet Score (Total Points)	Ranking (High, Medium or Low)	Comments
Canyon	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas		3				2				2				2				0		9	M	
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems		3				2				2				2				0		9	L	Meadows in relatively good shape, low priority for restoration.
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions		3				2				2				2				0		9	M	Stabilization of canyon walls would reduce sediments in the stream.
	Eliminate Migration Barriers				0				0				0					0	0		0	No ranking applied	
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0				0					0	0		0	No ranking applied	
	Other				0				0				0					0	0		0	No ranking applied	
Agricultural Uplands	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas		3				2				2				2				0		9	M	
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems	5					2				2				2				0		11	H	
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions		3				2				2				2				0		9	M	
	Eliminate Migration Barriers			1			2				2				2				0		7	L	Investigate existence of potential migration barriers and their removal; e.g. culverts.
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0		2				2				2				0		6	L	
	Other				0				0				0					0	0		0	No ranking applied	
Forest	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas		3				2				2				2				0		9	M	
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems		3				2				2				2				0		9	M	
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions			1			2				2				2				0		7	L	
	Eliminate Migration Barriers			1			2				2				2				0		7	L	Investigate existence of potential migration barriers and their removal; e.g. culverts.
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities		3				2				2				2				0		9	M	Limiting factors include stream flow and high water temperature.
	Other				0				0				0					0	0		0	No ranking applied	

Strong - A strong potential represents a high probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A strong potential also indicates a strong willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Moderate - A moderate potential represents a mid-range probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A moderate potential also indicates a mid-range or unknown willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Weak - A weak potential represents a known low probability of success even with significant inputs of additional technical and/or financial resources. A weak potential also indicates a known, or perceived, unwillingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

NA - Not applicable.

No ranking applied - A potential ranking does not apply or is not recommended to the identified restoration strategy.

Corral Creek Restoration Strategy Prioritization

Land Type	Restoration Strategies	Steelhead Production Response Potential				Landowner/Operator Potential Interest				Potential to Utilize Existing Conservation Agency Resources				Potential to Obtain Additional Technical and/or Financial Resources				Probability of Future Land Uses Supporting Completed Restoration Activities			Restoration Strategy Ranking					
		Strong (5 points)	Moderate (3 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Supportive (1 point)	Unknown (0 point)	Inconsistent (minus 1 point)	Sheet Score (Total Points)	Ranking (High, Medium or Low)	Comments			
Canyon	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas		3			3							2					2			0		10	M		
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems				0				0							0			0			0		No ranking applied		
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions		3						2				2						2			0		9	M	
	Eliminate Migration Barriers				0				0							0			0			0		No ranking applied		
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0							0			0			0		No ranking applied		
	Other				0				0							0			0			0		No ranking applied		
Agricultural Uplands	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas	5				3							2						2			0		12	L	Very little agricultural uplands, predominantly grazing in forest lands and canyons.
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems	5				3							2						2			0		12	L	Very little agricultural uplands, predominantly grazing in forest lands and canyons.
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions		3						3				2						2			0		10	L	Very little agricultural uplands, predominantly grazing in forest lands and canyons.
	Eliminate Migration Barriers				0				0							0			0			0		No ranking applied		
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities		3						2				2						2			0		9	L	Very little agricultural uplands, predominantly grazing in forest lands and canyons.
	Other				0				0							0			0			0		No ranking applied		
Forest	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas	5				3							2						2			0		12	H	Barrier removal would allow steelhead access to areas above railroad grade, riparian areas have impacts from grazing.
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems	5											2						2			0		9	H	Barrier removal would allow steelhead access to meadows above railroad grade.
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions		3						3				2						2			0		10	H	
	Eliminate Migration Barriers	5							2				2						2			0		11	H	Process initiated to eliminate migration barrier at railroad grade near Helmer.
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities			1					2				2						2			0		7	L	
	Other				0				0							0			0			0		No ranking applied		

Strong - A strong potential represents a high probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A strong potential also indicates a strong willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Moderate - A moderate potential represents a mid-range probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A moderate potential also indicates a mid-range or unknown willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Weak - A weak potential represents a known low probability of success even with significant inputs of additional technical and/or financial resources. A weak potential also indicates a known, or perceived, unwillingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

NA - Not applicable.

No ranking applied - A potential ranking does not apply or is not recommended to the identified restoration strategy.

East Fork Potlatch River Restoration Strategy Prioritization

Land Type	Restoration Strategies	Steelhead Production Response Potential				Landowner/Operator Potential Interest				Potential to Utilize Existing Conservation Agency Resources				Potential to Obtain Additional Technical and/or Financial Resources				Probability of Future Land Uses Supporting Completed Restoration Activities			Restoration Strategy Ranking		
		Strong (5 points)	Moderate (3 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Supportive (1 point)	Unknown (0 point)	Inconsistent (minus 1 point)	Sheet Score (Total Points)	Ranking (High, Medium or Low)	Comments
Canyon	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas		3				2				2				2			0			9	L	Mostly forest lands in watershed, riparian restoration would reduce sediment loads and produce large woody debris.
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems				0				0				0				0				0	No ranking applied	
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions			1			2				2				2			0			7	L	Mostly forest lands in watershed, riparian restoration would reduce sediment loads and produce large woody debris.
	Eliminate Migration Barriers				0				0				0				0				0	No ranking applied	
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0				0				0				0	No ranking applied	
	Other				0				0				0				0				0	No ranking applied	
Agricultural Uplands	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas		3				2				2				2			0			9	L	Very little agricultural uplands, predominantly grazing in forest lands.
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems		3				2				2				2			0			9	L	Very little agricultural uplands, predominantly grazing in forest lands.
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions			1			2				2				2			0			7	L	Very little agricultural uplands, predominantly grazing in forest lands.
	Eliminate Migration Barriers			1			2				2				2			0			7	L	Investigate existence of potential migration barriers and their removal; e.g. culverts.
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0		2				2				2			0			6	L	
	Other				0				0				0				0				0	No ranking applied	
Forest	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas	5					2				2				2			0			11	H	Mostly forest lands in watershed, riparian restoration would help produce future large woody debris.
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems		3				2				2				2			0			9	M	
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions			1			2				2				2			0			7	L	
	Eliminate Migration Barriers			1			2				2				2			0			7	L	Investigate existence of potential migration barriers and their removal; e.g. culverts.
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0		2				2				2			0			6	L	
	Other				0				0				0				0				0	No ranking applied	

Strong - A strong potential represents a high probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A strong potential also indicates a strong willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Moderate - A moderate potential represents a mid-range probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A moderate potential also indicates a mid-range or unknown willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Weak - A weak potential represents a known low probability of success even with significant inputs of additional technical and/or financial resources. A weak potential also indicates a known, or perceived, unwillingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

NA - Not applicable.

No ranking applied - A potential ranking does not apply or is not recommended to the identified restoration strategy.

Little Potlatch Creek Restoration Strategy Prioritization

Land Type	Restoration Strategies	Steelhead Production Response Potential				Landowner/Operator Potential Interest				Potential to Utilize Existing Conservation Agency Resources				Potential to Obtain Additional Technical and/or Financial Resources				Probability of Future Land Uses Supporting Completed Restoration Activities			Restoration Strategy Ranking		
		Strong (5 points)	Moderate (3 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Supportive (1 point)	Unknown (0 point)	Inconsistent (minus 1 point)	Sheet Score (Total Points)	Ranking (High, Medium or Low)	Comments
Canyon	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Eliminate Migration Barriers		3			3							0				0		0		6	M	Natural rock slide may be a migration barrier; investigate fish passage and possible remediation.
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Other				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Agricultural Uplands	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas			1		3							0				0		0		4	L
Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems				1		3							0				0		0		4	L	
Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions				1			2						0				0		0		3	L	
Eliminate Migration Barriers					0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities					0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
Other					0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
Forest		Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Eliminate Migration Barriers				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Other				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	

Strong - A strong potential represents a high probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A strong potential also indicates a strong willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Moderate - A moderate potential represents a mid-range probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A moderate potential also indicates a mid-range or unknown willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Weak - A weak potential represents a known low probability of success even with significant inputs of additional technical and/or financial resources. A weak potential also indicates a known, or perceived, unwillingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

NA - Not applicable.

No ranking applied - A potential ranking does not apply or is not recommended to the identified restoration strategy.

Middle Potlatch Creek Restoration Strategy Prioritization

Land Type	Restoration Strategies	Steelhead Production Response Potential				Landowner/Operator Potential Interest				Potential to Utilize Existing Conservation Agency Resources				Potential to Obtain Additional Technical and/or Financial Resources				Probability of Future Land Uses Supporting Completed Restoration Activities			Restoration Strategy Ranking		
		Strong (5 points)	Moderate (3 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Supportive (1 point)	Unknown (0 point)	Inconsistent (minus 1 point)	Sheet Score (Total Points)	Ranking (High, Medium or Low)	Comments
Canyon	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Eliminate Migration Barriers		3				2						0				0		0		5	M	Natural barrier is a steelhead migration barrier; investigate fish passage and possible remediation.
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Other				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Agricultural Uplands	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas			1			2						0				0		0		3	L
Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems				1			2						0				0		0		3	L	
Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions				1			2						0				0		0		3	L	
Eliminate Migration Barriers					0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities					0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
Other					0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
Forest		Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas			1					0				0				0		0		1	L
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems			1					0				0				0		0		1	L	Watershed consists mainly of agricultural uplands.
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions			1					0				0				0		0		1	L	Watershed consists mainly of agricultural uplands.
	Eliminate Migration Barriers				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Other				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	

Strong - A strong potential represents a high probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A strong potential also indicates a strong willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Moderate - A moderate potential represents a mid-range probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A moderate potential also indicates a mid-range or unknown willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Weak - A weak potential represents a known low probability of success even with significant inputs of additional technical and/or financial resources. A weak potential also indicates a known, or perceived, unwillingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

NA - Not applicable.

No ranking applied - A potential ranking does not apply or is not recommended to the identified restoration strategy.

Moose Creek Restoration Strategy Prioritization

Land Type	Restoration Strategies	Steelhead Production Response Potential				Landowner/Operator Potential Interest				Potential to Utilize Existing Conservation Agency Resources				Potential to Obtain Additional Technical and/or Financial Resources				Probability of Future Land Uses Supporting Completed Restoration Activities			Restoration Strategy Ranking			
		Strong (5 points)	Moderate (3 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Supportive (1 point)	Unknown (0 point)	Inconsistent (minus 1 point)	Sheet Score (Total Points)	Ranking (High, Medium or Low)	Comments	
Canyon	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas				0				0				0				0				0	0	No ranking applied	Very little canyon lands in the watershed, predominantly forest lands.
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems				0				0				0				0				0	0	No ranking applied	
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions				0				0				0				0				0	0	No ranking applied	
	Eliminate Migration Barriers				0				0				0				0				0	0	No ranking applied	
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0				0				0				0	0	No ranking applied	
	Other				0				0				0				0				0	0	No ranking applied	
	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas				0				0				0				0				0	0	No ranking applied	Very little agricultural uplands, predominantly grazing in forest lands.
Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems				0				0				0				0				0	0	No ranking applied		
Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions				0				0				0				0				0	0	No ranking applied		
Eliminate Migration Barriers				0				0				0				0				0	0	No ranking applied		
Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0				0				0				0	0	No ranking applied		
Other				0				0				0				0				0	0	No ranking applied		
Forest	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas		3				2				2				2						0	9	M	Riparian and instream habitat improvements will get the most response from steelhead.
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems		3				2				2				2						0	9	M	
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions		3				2				2				2						0	9	M	
	Eliminate Migration Barriers			1			2				2				2						0	7	L	Moose Creek Reservoir is a migration barrier. Investigate potentials for barrier remediation.
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0				0				0				0	0	No ranking applied	
	Other				0				0				0				0				0	0	No ranking applied	
	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas				0				0				0				0				0	0	No ranking applied	

Strong - A strong potential represents a high probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A strong potential also indicates a strong willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Moderate - A moderate potential represents a mid-range probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A moderate potential also indicates a mid-range or unknown willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Weak - A weak potential represents a known low probability of success even with significant inputs of additional technical and/or financial resources. A weak potential also indicates a known, or perceived, unwillingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

NA - Not applicable.

No ranking applied - A potential ranking does not apply or is not recommended to the identified restoration strategy.

Pine Creek Restoration Strategy Prioritization

Land Type	Restoration Strategies	Steelhead Production Response Potential				Landowner/Operator Potential Interest				Potential to Utilize Existing Conservation Agency Resources				Potential to Obtain Additional Technical and/or Financial Resources				Probability of Future Land Uses Supporting Completed Restoration Activities			Restoration Strategy Ranking		
		Strong (5 points)	Moderate (3 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Supportive (1 point)	Unknown (0 point)	Inconsistent (minus 1 point)	Sheet Score (Total Points)	Ranking (High, Medium or Low)	Comments
Canyon	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas		3				2				2				2				0		9	M	Riparian area already in fair condition; steelhead response to restoration activities may be weak.
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems			1			2				2				2				0		7	L	A limited amount of meadow/wetland area; steelhead response to restoration activities may be weak.
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions			1			2				2				2				0		7	L	
	Eliminate Migration Barriers				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Other				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
Agricultural Uplands	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas	5					2				2				2				0		11	M	A relatively small amount of forest lands exist in the watershed.
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems	5					2				2				2				0		11	M	
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions	5					2				2				2				0		11	M	
	Eliminate Migration Barriers			1				1				1				1			0		4	L	Investigate existence of potential migration barriers and their removal; e.g. culverts.
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Other				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
Forest	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas			1			2				2				2				0		7	L	
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems			1			2				2				2				0		7	L	
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions		3				2				2				2				0		9	M	Limiting factors are low stream flows and high water temperatures.
	Eliminate Migration Barriers			1				1				1				1			0		4	L	Investigate existence of potential migration barriers and their removal; e.g. culverts.
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities		3				2				2				2				0		9	M	Limiting factors are low stream flows and high water temperatures.
	Other								0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	

Strong - A strong potential represents a high probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A strong potential also indicates a strong willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Moderate - A moderate potential represents a mid-range probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A moderate potential also indicates a mid-range or unknown willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Weak - A weak potential represents a known low probability of success even with significant inputs of additional technical and/or financial resources. A weak potential also indicates a known, or perceived, unwillingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

NA - Not applicable.

No ranking applied - A potential ranking does not apply or is not recommended to the identified restoration strategy.

Ruby Creek Restoration Strategy Prioritization

Land Type	Restoration Strategies	Steelhead Production Response Potential				Landowner/Operator Potential Interest				Potential to Utilize Existing Conservation Agency Resources				Potential to Obtain Additional Technical and/or Financial Resources				Probability of Future Land Uses Supporting Completed Restoration Activities			Restoration Strategy Ranking		
		Strong (5 points)	Moderate (3 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Supportive (1 point)	Unknown (0 point)	Inconsistent (minus 1 point)	Sheet Score (Total Points)	Ranking (High, Medium or Low)	Comments
Canyon	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	Mostly forest lands in watershed.
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	Mostly forest lands in watershed.
	Eliminate Migration Barriers				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Other				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
Agricultural Uplands	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	Very little agricultural uplands, predominantly grazing in forest lands.
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	Very little agricultural uplands, predominantly grazing in forest lands.
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	Very little agricultural uplands, predominantly grazing in forest lands.
	Eliminate Migration Barriers				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Other				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
Forest	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas	5					2				2				2				0		11	H	Mostly forest lands in watershed, riparian restoration would help produce future large woody debris.
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems		3				2				2				2				0		9	L	Few meadow areas identified.
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions		3				2				2				2				0		9	L	
	Eliminate Migration Barriers			1				1				1				1			0		4	L	Investigate existence of potential migration barriers and their removal; e.g. culverts.
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Other				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	

Strong - A strong potential represents a high probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A strong potential also indicates a strong willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Moderate - A moderate potential represents a mid-range probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A moderate potential also indicates a mid-range or unknown willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Weak - A weak potential represents a known low probability of success even with significant inputs of additional technical and/or financial resources. A weak potential also indicates a known, or perceived, unwillingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

NA - Not applicable.

No ranking applied - A potential ranking does not apply or is not recommended to the identified restoration strategy.

West Fork Potlatch River Restoration Strategy Prioritization

Land Type	Restoration Strategies	Steelhead Production Response Potential				Landowner/Operator Potential Interest				Potential to Utilize Existing Conservation Agency Resources				Potential to Obtain Additional Technical and/or Financial Resources				Probability of Future Land Uses Supporting Completed Restoration Activities			Restoration Strategy Ranking		
		Strong (5 points)	Moderate (3 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Supportive (1 point)	Unknown (0 point)	Inconsistent (minus 1 point)	Sheet Score (Total Points)	Ranking (High, Medium or Low)	Comments
Canyon	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	Mostly forest lands in watershed.
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	Mostly forest lands in watershed.
	Eliminate Migration Barriers				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Other				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	Very little agricultural uplands, some grazing in forest lands.
Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	Very little agricultural uplands, some grazing in forest lands.	
Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	Very little agricultural uplands, some grazing in forest lands.	
Eliminate Migration Barriers				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied		
Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied		
Other				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied		
Forest	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas	5					2				2				2				0		11	H	Large woody debris production would provide greatest habitat needs for steelhead.
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems	5					2				2				2				0		11	H	
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions		3				2				2				2				0		9	H	Large woody debris production would provide greatest habitat needs for steelhead.
	Eliminate Migration Barriers			1			2				2				2				0		7	L	Investigate existence of potential migration barriers and their removal; e.g. culverts.
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Other				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	

Strong - A strong potential represents a high probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A strong potential also indicates a strong willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Moderate - A moderate potential represents a mid-range probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A moderate potential also indicates a mid-range or unknown willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Weak - A weak potential represents a known low probability of success even with significant inputs of additional technical and/or financial resources. A weak potential also indicates a known, or perceived, unwillingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

NA - Not applicable.

No ranking applied - A potential ranking does not apply or is not recommended to the identified restoration strategy.

Upper Mainstem Potlatch River Restoration Strategy Prioritization

Land Type	Restoration Strategies	Steelhead Production Response Potential				Landowner/Operator Potential Interest				Potential to Utilize Existing Conservation Agency Resources				Potential to Obtain Additional Technical and/or Financial Resources				Probability of Future Land Uses Supporting Completed Restoration Activities			Restoration Strategy Ranking		
		Strong (5 points)	Moderate (3 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Supportive (1 point)	Unknown (0 point)	Inconsistent (minus 1 point)	Sheet Score (Total Points)	Ranking (High, Medium or Low)	Comments
Canyon	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas		3				2				2				2				0		9	M	Important canyon area for steelhead production.
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems			1			2				2				2				0		7	M	
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions		3				2				2				2				0		9	M	
	Eliminate Migration Barriers				0				0				0						0		0	No ranking applied	
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0				0						0		0	No ranking applied	
	Other				0				0				0						0		0	No ranking applied	
Agricultural Uplands	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas		3				2				2				2				0		9	M	
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems		3				2				2				2				0		9	M	
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions			1			2				2				2				0		7	M	
	Eliminate Migration Barriers				0				0				0						0		0	No ranking applied	
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0				0						0		0	No ranking applied	
	Other				0				0				0						0		0	No ranking applied	
Forest	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas	5					2				2				2				0		11	H	Limiting factors are high water temperatures and instream habitat.
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems		3				2				2				2				0		9	M	Limiting factors are high water temperatures and instream habitat.
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions		3				2				2				2				0		9	M	Limiting factors are high water temperatures and instream habitat.
	Eliminate Migration Barriers				0				0				0						0		0	No ranking applied	
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0				0						0		0	No ranking applied	
	Other				0				0				0						0		0	No ranking applied	

Strong - A strong potential represents a high probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A strong potential also indicates a strong willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Moderate - A moderate potential represents a mid-range probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A moderate potential also indicates a mid-range or unknown willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Weak - A weak potential represents a known low probability of success even with significant inputs of additional technical and/or financial resources. A weak potential also indicates a known, or perceived, unwillingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

NA - Not applicable.

No ranking applied - A potential ranking does not apply or is not recommended to the identified restoration strategy.

Lower Mainstem Potlatch River Restoration Strategy Prioritization

Land Type	Restoration Strategies	Steelhead Production Response Potential				Landowner/Operator Potential Interest				Potential to Utilize Existing Conservation Agency Resources				Potential to Obtain Additional Technical and/or Financial Resources				Probability of Future Land Uses Supporting Completed Restoration Activities			Restoration Strategy Ranking		
		Strong (5 points)	Moderate (3 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Strong (3 points)	Moderate (2 points)	Weak (1 point)	NA (0 points)	Supportive (1 point)	Unknown (0 point)	Inconsistent (minus 1 point)	Sheet Score (Total Points)	Ranking (High, Medium or Low)	Comments
Canyon	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas		3				2				2				2				0		9	M	Limiting factors include high water temperatures and poor water quality.
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems		3				2				2				2				0		9	M	Limiting factors include high water temperatures and poor water quality.
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions	5					2				2				2				0		11	H	Anthropogenic activities (dredging) degraded stream and water quality. Limiting factors include high water temperatures and poor water quality.
	Eliminate Migration Barriers				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Other				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
Agricultural Uplands	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas		3				2				2				2				0		9	M	Limiting factors include high water temperatures and poor water quality.
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems		3				2				2				2				0		9	M	Limiting factors include high water temperatures and poor water quality.
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions			1			2				2				2				0		7	L	Limiting factors include high water temperatures and poor water quality.
	Eliminate Migration Barriers				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Other				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
Forest	Restore Riparian/Floodplain Areas				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Restore Meadow/Wetland Systems				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Restore Upland Ecosystem Functions				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Eliminate Migration Barriers				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Develop Artificial Water Retention Facilities				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	
	Other				0				0				0				0		0		0	No ranking applied	

Strong - A strong potential represents a high probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A strong potential also indicates a strong willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Moderate - A moderate potential represents a mid-range probability of success with additional technical and/or financial resources. A moderate potential also indicates a mid-range or unknown willingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

Weak - A weak potential represents a known low probability of success even with significant inputs of additional technical and/or financial resources. A weak potential also indicates a known, or perceived, unwillingness by landowners, operators and/or agencies to address the identified restoration strategy.

NA - Not applicable.

No ranking applied - A potential ranking does not apply or is not recommended to the identified restoration strategy.